

Contraception and HIV risk in ASPIRE

Jared Baeten University of Washington MTN Regional Meeting, Cape Town, September 2018

Coming up at R4P

Risk of H Africa contracer

Wits Reproductive

Elizabeth R. Brown^{2,3}, Dar Harkoo⁶, Gonasagrie Na

ng South riety of rospective

ourg, South Africa

rendevi Pather⁵, Ishana ^{-3,9}, Jared M. Baeten³



Background

Whether the use of certain forms of contraception increases the risk of HIV acquisition in women is a question of global public health importance, particularly for African settings where HIV prevalence and unmet family planning needs are **both** high



Background

The greatest potential concern has centered on the use of injectable depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) – in a recent meta-analysis, the magnitude of effect was 1.40 (95% Cl 1.23-1.59) (Polis et al. 2016)

Limited data are available to assess HIV-1 risk for other contraceptive methods, including norethisterone enanthate (NET-EN), intrauterine devices (IUDs), and hormonal implants.





Methods

Within a randomized trial of the dapivirine vaginal ring for HIV prevention, MTN020/ASPIRE, we assessed HIV incidence by contraceptive method.

We limited analyses to participants from the South African sites and to women who used DMPA, the alternative injectable norethisterone enanthate (NET-EN), implants, or copper IUDs.

Methods

Contraceptive method was assessed as a timedependent exposure.

Multivariable models adjusted for trial randomization arm, age, sexual behavior, menstrual bleeding, and incident sexually transmitted infections.

2629 women were enrolled and followed in the MTN020/ASPIRE trial, 1426 from South Africa, of whom 1136 contributed to this analysis.

Median age was 24 years (interquartile range 21-29), 7% were married, and 32% used a condom at the last sex act at baseline.

At some time during follow-up, 725 (64%) used DMPA, 455 (40%) NET-EN, 257 (23%) contraceptive implants, and 219 (19%) copper IUDs.

A total of 95 incident HIV infections were observed over 22,293 follow-up visits, for an overall HIV incidence of 5.6 per 100 personyears.



HIV incidence \rightarrow



HIV incidence \rightarrow

Summary

HIV incidence was high in this population of young South African women, emphasizing the importance of the question of whether contraceptive method influences HIV susceptibility.

We found no statistically significant differences in HIV incidence by contraceptive method.

Discussion

Across eastern and southern Africa, injectable methods are the most popular contraceptive used. In South Africa, the country with largest HIV epidemic globally, half of women using contraception use injectable progestin methods.

In 2017, WHO recommended that women using progestogen-based injectables be advised that current evidence on HIV risk associated with DMPA are concerning but inconclusive.

Discussion

The (almost finished) Evidence for Contraceptive Options and HIV Outcomes (ECHO) trial is directly testing the HIV acquisitionbetween DMPA, cIUD and the levonorgestrel implant using a randomized design www.echo-consortium.com.

These ASPIRE results emphasize that we cannot predict the ECHO results.



Limitations

Our results are limited by the sample size and observational nature of data, but provide one of the only head-to-head comparisons to date of HIV incidence across contraceptive methods, particularly for IUDs and implants.

Conclusion

Implants had the lowest point estimate for HIV incidence, and IUDs had the risk comparable to injectable methods in multivariate models.

These results emphasize that robust, prospective studies, such as ECHO, which will provide head to head comparisons, are needed to define better the relative HIV risks across different contraceptive methods, an urgent priority for women and policymakers.

MTN-020/ASPIRE Study Team

- Leadership: Jared Baeten (protocol chair), Thesla Palanee-Phillips (protocol co-chair), Elizabeth Brown (protocol statistician), Katie Schwatrz and Ashley Mayo (FHI 360), Lydia Soto-Torres (DAIDS medical officer)
- Study sites:
 - Malawi: Blantyre site (Malawi College of Medicine-John Hopkins University Research Project): Bonus Makanani, Taha Taha
 - Malawi: Lilongwe site (University of North Carolina Project): Francis Martinson, Lameck Chinula
 - South Africa: Cape Town site (University of Cape Town): Lulu Nair, Linda-Gail Bekker
 - South Africa: Durban eThekwini site (Centre for AIDS Programme of Research in South Africa): Leila Mansour
 - South Africa: Durban Botha's Hill, Chatsworth, Isipingo, Tongaat, Umkomaas, Verulam sites (South African Medical Research Council): Anamika Premrajh, Arendevi Pather, Logashvari Naidoo, Nishanta Singh, Nitesha Jeenarain, Samantha Siva, Vaneshree Govender, Vimla Naicker, Zakir Gaffoor, Simone Hendricks, Shaamilah Suleman, Gita Ramjee
 - South Africa: Johannesburg site (Wits Reproductive Health and HIV Institute): Thesla Palanee-Phillips
 - Uganda: Kampala site (Makerere University-Johns Hopkins University Research Collaboration): Flavia Matovu Kiweewa, Brenda Gati, Clemensia Nakabiito
 - Zimbabwe: Chitungwiza-Seke South, Chitungwiza-Zengeza, Harare-Spilhaus sites (University of Zimbabwe College of Health Sciences Clinical Trials Unit): Nyaradzo Mgodi, Felix Mhlanga, Portia Hunidzarira, Zvavahera Chirenje
- Microbicides Trials Network Leadership and Operations Center (University of Pittsburgh, Magee-Womens Research Institute, University of Washington, FHI 360, New York State Psychiatry Institute, Population Council, RTI International): Sharon Hillier, Ian McGowan, Ivan Balan, Katherine Bunge, Beth Galaska, Morgan Garcia, Cindy Jacobson, Judith Jones, Ashley Mayo, Barbara Mensch, Elizabeth Montgomery, Patrick Ndase, Kenneth Ngure, Rachel Scheckter, Devika Singh, Kristine Torjesen, Ariane van der Straten, Rhonda White
- Microbicides Trials Network Laboratory Center (Magee-Womens Research Institute, University of Pittsburgh, Johns Hopkins University): Craig Hendrix, Edward Livant, Mark Marzinke, John Mellors, Urvi Parikh
- Microbicides Trials Network Statistical and Data Management Center (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center): Elizabeth Brown, Jennifer Berthiaume, Marla Husnik, Karen Patterson, Melissa Peda, Barbra Richardson, Daniel Szydlo
- US National Institutes of Health: Nahida Chakhtoura, Donna Germuga, Cynthia Grossman, Diane Rausch, Lydia Soto-Torres
- International Partnership for Microbicides: Zeda Rosenberg, Annalene Nel
- ASPIRE & HOPE participants and their communities and Community Working Group
- The International Partnership for Microbicides provided the study rings.
- The Microbicide Trials Network is funded by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (UM1AI068633, UM1AI068615, UM1AI106707), with co-funding from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and the National Institute of Mental Health, all components of the U.S. National Institutes of Health.







